

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,197	07/06/2001	Mike Geskus	0459-0630P	2700
· 7590 04/14/2004			EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			HARVEY, DIONNE	
P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2643	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/899,197	GESKUS, MIKE			
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN DIO DATE And	Dionne N Harvey	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the c	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "damper grids" of claims 3,10 and 16; the means by which the transporting means are "attachable or attached" as recited in claims 5 and 12; and the "sound delaying filter" of claim 6,13 and 19, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2643

Claims 1,3,5-8,10,12-14,16,18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Killion (US 6,151,399).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, in figure 4A and figures 11-15, Killion teaches a directional microphone comprising: a surface part (80) having at least two inlet holes for sound (83,84); more clearly shown in figure 12, a microphone (315) having at least two sound inlets (319,320); more clearly shown in figure 4A, a hollow at least substantially rigid means (85,86) for transporting sound from each inlet hole to a respective sound inlet (also, see elements 342 and 344 in figure 14).

Regarding claims 3,10 and 16, shown in figure 12 and discussed in column 11, lines 32-36, Killion teaches a damper grid (349) placed on an inner surface of the means for transporting sound to the inlet, which is acting as a sound passage for front volume.

Regarding claims 5 and 12, in column 10, lines 29-31, Killion teaches that the transporting means (303,305) are attachable or attached to the microphone via element "309".

Regarding claims 6,13 and 19, in column 12, lines 25-29, Killion teaches that at least one of the transporting means comprises an acoustical sound delaying filter.

Regarding claim 7, Killion teaches that the assembly is for use in a hearing aid.

Regarding claim 14, shown in figures 12 and 13, Killion teaches the assembly comprising: a microphone having at least two sound inlets (319,320); and hollow at least substantially rigid means attached to the microphone (303,305) and being adapted to transport sound from predetermined positions to a respective sound inlet.

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 18, shown in figure 12, Killion teaches that the transporting means (303,305) are adapted to abut or engage an element defining the surface part (313) having sound inlet holes (343,345,347), the transporting means (303,305) abutting or engaging the element at sound inlet holes thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,4,9,11,15,17,20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Killion (US 6,151,399).

Regarding claims 2,9 and 15, In column 9, lines 65-68, Killion teaches that the transporting means can be constructed to have certain acoustic properties. Killion does not specifically teach that the inner diameter of the transporting means is dimensioned in such a way that the frequency response of the transporting means is optimized. However, Killion does not restrict to the transporting means being dimensioned so as to have any specific inner diameter. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the hollow transporting means with certain dimensions which would provide a desired frequency response and polar plot for the microphone cartridge, as discussed in column 10, lines 1-2 of the Killion reference.

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 4,11 and 17, Killion does not clearly teach that the diameter of the at least two inlet holes for sound are dimensioned according to a required directionality. However, as discussed in the rejection of claims 2,9 and 15 above, Killion does not restrict that the inlet holes are provided with a particular diameter. And therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provided inlet holes which are dimensioned to a required directionality for the purpose of providing a desired frequency response and polar plot for the microphone cartridge, as discussed in column 10, lines 1-2 of the Killion reference.

Regarding claim 20, in column 7, lines 54-55, Killion teaches that the delay of the system at least substantially corresponds to a distance between two microphones. Killion does not clearly teach that the sound delay corresponds to the distance between two predetermined positions divided by the velocity of sound in air at sea level. However, it is well known in the art to use "sea level" measurements, as this is representative of standard temperature and pressure. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to calibrate said device by said standard, according to which the device may be altered to accommodate other users.

Regarding claim 21, Killion does not clearly teach that the sound-delaying filter is adapted to provide a sound delay corresponding to 0.33-0.57 times a distance between two inlet holes in the surface part divided by the speed of sound. However, Killion does not restrict to any specific time delay for the system. Therefore, it would have been

Art Unit: 2643

obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to change the delay time dependent upon the desired frequency response for the system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne N Harvey whose telephone number is 703-305-1111. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6:30 M-F and alternating Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dionne Harvey

VHUYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER